	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/629,220	FLIRI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Emily Bernhardt	1624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Emily Bernhardt</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Hosley</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview:	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejection under par. two for "A" variable as well as scope of clair	m rejection under par.one	
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
L'Beinhaidl		
	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In view of "A" being zero it should be made clear that no bridge exists in the ring since a zero bridge is still possible. Wording was suggested to be added after "m is zero" which Ms. Hosley agreed to. Also the scope of "heteroaryl" in the R9-R19 choices is not seen to be supported by the working examples as previously indicated. Without acquiescing to the correctness of the par.one rejection, Ms. Hosley agreed that the term be deleted in the R9-R19 definitions and reserve the right to file a subsequent application covering the cancelled subject matter..